SAFIR2018 PROJECT PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (26.8.2016)

Name of the evaluator:

Evaluator disqualified: yes ☐ no ☐

Proposal number and shortname ☐ number **ACRONYM**

1. Suitability to SAFIR2018 programme

Proposal is suitable to SAFIR2018 yes ☐ no ☐

2. Scientific level (points 1-6) ☐ *weight 2.0*
1 = no scientific significance
6 = creates internationally high level new knowledge

3. Safety significance (points 1-6) ☐ *weight 2.0*
1 = proposal has no impact on nuclear safety
6 = proposal is of primary importance for nuclear safety

4. Value for end users (points 1-6) ☐ *weight 2.0*
1 = proposal has no value
6 = proposal is very valuable

5. Clarity and realism of the proposal (points 1-6) ☐ *weight 1.0*
1= unrealistic / not feasible with planned resources or competence
6 = proposal well feasible with planned resources, schedule and competence, clearly identified milesones and deliverables

6. Maintenance and development of know-how (points 1-6) ☐ *weight 1.0*
1 = no influence
6 = remarkable influence

7. Educational impact of the proposal (points 1-6) ☐ *weight 1.0*
1 = no educational impact
6 = remarkable educational impact

8. Benefits of international cooperation (points 1-6) ☐ *weight 1.0*
1 = no importance
6 = very important

9. Proposal's priority score within the field ☐
1. most important, 2. second important, etc.

Boundary conditions for accepted proposal:
1. Proposal has to be suitable to SAFIR2018 programme
2. The proposal has to score at least 4 points from each of the items 2., 3., 4. and 5.

Brief justification, if score 1 or 2 in items 2-5:

Other possible comments: