

**SAFIR2018 PROJECT PROPOSAL EVALUATION FORM (15.6.2015)**

Name of the evaluator:

Evaluator disqualified:

yes

no

  


Proposal number and shortname

number

**ACRONYM****1. Suitability to SAFIR2018 programme**

Proposal is suitable to SAFIR2018

yes

no

  

**2. Scientific level (points 0-5)**

0 = no scientific significance

5= creates internationally high level new knowledge

*weight 2.0***3. Safety significance (points 0-5)**

0 = proposal has no impact on nuclear safety

5 = proposal is of primary importance for nuclear safety

*weight 2.0***4. Value for end users (points 0-5)**

0 = proposal has no value

5= proposal is very valuable

*weight 2.0***5. Clarity and realism of the proposal (points 0-5)**

0= unrealistic / not feasible with planned resources or competence

5= proposal well feasible with planned resources, schedule and competence, clearly identified milestones and deliverables

*weight 1.0***6. Maintenance and development of know-how (points 0-5)**

0 = no influence

5 = remarkable influence

*weight 1.0***7. Educational impact of the proposal (points 0-5)**

0 = no educational impact

5 = remarkable educational impact

*weight 1.0***8. Benefits of international cooperation, points 0-5**

0 = no importance

5 = very important

*weight 1.0***9. Proposal's priority score within the field**

1. most important, 2. second important, etc.

*Boundary conditions for accepted proposal:**1. Proposal has to be suitable to SAFIR2018 programme**2. The proposal has to score at least 3 points from each of the items 2., 3., 4. and 5.***Brief justification, if score 0 or 1 in items 2-5:****Other possible comments:**

(the comments can be continued to the following pages)